

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/798,501	MYLES, DAVID R.
	Examiner Brian K. Green	Art Unit 3611

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Brian K. Green.

(3) _____.

(2) Ashkan Najafi.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 August 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1,2,4-8,10-13,15

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In figure 6, agreed to change the numeral 20' for the support members to the numeral 20" since the anchor member is already labeled 20'. In the specification, agree to change the numeral 20' for the support members to the numeral 20". In claims 1, 7, and 12, agreed to change "fastening means" to "means for fastening" to be consistent with the language used earlier in each respective claim. Agree to cancel claims 2, 8, and 13 since these claims are directed to the embodiment shown in figure 3 and all of the independent claims are directed to the embodiment shown in figure 6 which does not include a magnet as defined in claims 2, 8, and 13. In claims 4-6, 10, 11, and 13, agreed to change "support member" to "elongated support member" to make it clear that the applicant is referring to the elongated support member..